The derivational history of Greek $i\pi\pi\sigma s$ and $i\pi\pi\epsilon \dot{v}s$

Michiel de Vaan Leiden University m.a.c.de.vaan@hum.leidenuniv.nl

The recent insight that the Proto-Anatolian word for 'horse' was **?ek-u- suggests that the non-Anatolian word *h_iekuo- 'horse' resulted from thematization. Its source may have been the genitive singular *h_ikuós of the Early PIE u-stem for 'horse'. In Greek, the vowel i in $i\pi \pi os$ may reflect a prop vowel which regularly arose in the cluster *h_iku-, showing the generalization of *h_ikuó- in a prestage of Greek. The suffix of $i\pi \pi \epsilon \acute{v}s$ 'horseman' may have arisen from hypostasis of the locative singular *h_ikôu 'on the horse, on horseback', yielding *h_ikôus 'horse-rider'; thence, the suffix spread to other occupational denominations.

1. In his recent etymological dictionary of Hittite, Alwin Kloekhorst (2008: 237-239) convincingly shows that the Anatolian words for 'horse' go back to a Proto-Anatolian u-stem * $^{?}e\hat{k}$ -u- 'horse' from PIE * $h_1\hat{e}\hat{k}$ -u-. Compare the attestations: Hittite **ekku*-(c.): ANŠE.KUR.RA-*uš* [nom.sg.], ANŠE.KUR.RA^{HI.A}-*un* [acc.sg.], ANŠE.KUR.RA-*aš* [gen.sg.], ANŠE.KUR.RA^{MEŠ}-*uš* [acc.pl.]; Cuneiform Luwian **āššu*- or *azzu- (c.) (ANŠE.KUR.RA-uš [nom.sg.]), Hieroglyphic Luwian ásu- (c.) 'horse'; Lycian esb- 'horse' (esbedi [abl.-ins.], esbehi [gen.adj. nom.sg.c.]). The Lycian word is mostly cited as esbe-(e.g. by Melchert 2004: 17), but, as Kloekhorst argues, "this is not necessarily correct as the -e- visible in abl.-instr. esbedi and gen.adj. esbehe/i- in both cases is inherent to the ending (-edi ~ CLuw. -āti, -ehe/i- ~ CLuw. -ašša/i-)." Kloekhorst infers that the thematic stem $*h_1e\hat{k}uo$ 'horse' found in the other Indo-European languages must be the result of a thematization which was not shared by Anatolian. This, then, is one of the common innovations of the Indo-European dialects that remained a linguistic unity for some time after Proto-Anatolian split off, and one of the indications for the correctness of the Indo-Hittite hypothesis (Kloekhorst 2008: 7–11, Cowgill 1974,

The Journal of Indo-European Studies